

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

JOSEPH P ROBSON MD 801 WEST 5 STREET #1708 AUSTIN TX 78703

Respondent Name

NEW HAMPSHIRE INSURANCE CO

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-13-1912-01

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number 19

MFDR Date Received

MARCH 26, 2013

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "Per the conversation on 2/11/13 with Mr. Arcineaux. I am proving proof in the form of fax confirmations that the report and bill for this claimant was indeed submitted in a timely fashion on 4/5/2012."

Amount in Dispute: \$1,000.00

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: The insurance carrier or its agent did not submit a response to the request for medical fee dispute resolution.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
March 26, 2012	Designated Doctor Exam	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for health care providers to pursue a medical fee dispute.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.20 sets out the procedures for health care providers to submit workers' compensation medical bills for reimbursement.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.4 sets out the rules for non-Commission communications.
- 4. Texas Labor Code §408.027 sets out the rules for timely submission of a claim by a health care provider.
- 5. Texas Labor Code §408.0272 sets out the rules for certain exceptions for untimely submission of a claim by a health care provider.
- 6. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204 sets out the fee guideline for workers' compensation specific services.
- 7. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

- 29 The time limit for filing has expired.
- BL This bill is a reconsideration of a previously reviewed bill. Allowance amounts do not reflect previous payments.

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. Is the timely filing deadline applicable to the medical bills for the services in dispute?
- 2. Did the requestor forfeit the right to reimbursement for the services in dispute?

Findings

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.20(b) states, in pertinent part, that, except as provided in Texas Labor Code §408.0272, "a health care provider shall not submit a medical bill later than the 95th day after the date the services are provided. In accordance with subsection (c) of the statute, the health care provider shall submit the medical bill to the correct workers' compensation insurance carrier not later than the 95th day after the date the health care provider is notified of the health care provider's erroneous submission of the medical bill. A health care provider who submits a medical bill to the correct workers' compensation insurance carrier shall include a copy of the original medical bill submitted, a copy of the explanation of benefits (EOB) if available, and sufficient documentation to support why one or more of the exceptions for untimely submission of a medical bill under §408.0272 should be applied..." Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds a successful fax transmission to adjuster Gerald Arceneaux on April 5, 2012. For that reason, the requestor has submitted the medical bill timely.
- 2. Texas Labor Code §408.027(a) states, in pertinent part, that "Failure by the health care provider to timely submit a claim for payment constitutes a forfeiture of the provider's right to reimbursement for that claim for payment." 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.4(h) states that "Unless the great weight of evidence indicates otherwise, written communications shall be deemed to have been sent on: (1) the date received, if sent by fax, personal delivery, or electronic transmission or, (2) the date postmarked if sent by mail via United States Postal Service regular mail, or, if the postmark date is unavailable, the later of the signature date on the written communication or the date it was received minus five days. If the date received minus five days is a Sunday or legal holiday, the date deemed sent shall be the next previous day which is not a Sunday or legal holiday." Review of the submitted information finds no documentation to support that a medical bill was submitted within 95 days from the date the services were provided. Therefore, pursuant to Texas Labor Code §408.027(a), the requestor in this medical fee dispute has not forfeited the right to reimbursement and reimbursement is due as follows:
 - In accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(j)(3)(C), an examining doctor, other than the treating doctor, shall bill using CPT Code 99456. Reimbursement shall be \$350. Review of the medical bill supports the requestor billed correctly.
 - In accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(j)(4)(C)(ii)(I), for musculoskeletal body areas, the examining doctor may bill for a maximum of three body areas. The MAR for musculoskeletal body areas shall be as follows. \$150 for each body area if the Diagnosis Related Estimates (DRE) method found in the AMA Guides 4th edition is used. Review of the medical bill and supporting documentation supports the requestor billed correctly.
 - In accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(k), the following shall apply to Return to Work (RTW) and/or Evaluation of Medical Care (EMC) Examinations. When conducting a Division or insurance carrier requested RTW/EMC examination, the examining doctor shall bill and be reimbursed using CPT Code 99456 with modifier "RE." In either instance of whether MMI/IR is performed or not, the reimbursement shall be \$500 in accordance with subsection (i) of this section and shall include Division-required reports. Testing that is required shall be billed using the appropriate CPT codes and reimbursed in addition to the examination fee. Review of the medical bill supports the requestor billed correctly.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$1,000.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$1,000.00 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

		October 3, 2013
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.